Dispelling the Myths about Information Sharing Between the Mental Health and Criminal Justice Systems

John Petrila, JD, LLM[1]

The CMHS National GAINS Center for Systemic Change for Justice-Involved People with Mental Illness February, 2007

Recently, police arrested an individual with a long arrest record. During the arrest, he was injured and police took him to an area hospital for care. When the police came to check on him the next day, he had been released. The hospital spokesperson said that the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) made it impossible for the hospital to communicate with the police regarding the individual's release.

This 2006 newspaper story is notable for two reasons. First, it illustrates one of the many types of interactions between law enforcement officials and health care providers that occur every day across the United States. Second, it illustrates the many misunderstandings regarding HIPAA that continue to exist years after its enactment.

These misunderstandings are sometimes so deeply ingrained that they have assumed the status of myth. These myths have serious negative consequences for persons with mental illness who are justice-involved. They can bring efforts at cross-system collaboration to a halt and they can compromise appropriate clinical care and public safety. In fact, these myths are rarely rooted in the actual HIPAA regulation. HIPAA not only does not create a significant barrier to cross-system collaboration, it provides tools that communities should use in structuring information sharing arrangements.

> Contrary to myth, HIPAA covered entities do not include the courts, court personnel, accrediting agencies such as JCAHO, and law enforcement officials such as police or probation officers.

What is HIPAA?

Congress enacted HIPAA in 1996 to improve the health care system by "encouraging the development of a health information system through the establishment of standards and requirements for the electronic transmission of certain health information."

Who does the HIPAA Privacy Rule cover?

The Privacy Rule establishes standards for the protection and disclosure of health information. The Privacy Rule only applies to "covered entities," which are health plans (such as a group health plan, or Medicaid); health care clearinghouses (entities that process health information into standard data elements); and health care providers. Other entities may be

[1] Department of Mental Health Law & Policy University of South Florida at Tampa

on the confidentiality of alcohol and drug abuse patient records (commonly referred to as Part 2). These rules, enacted more than 30 years ago, have strict requirements for the release of information that would identify a person as an abuser of alcohol or drugs. Another example illustrates this point: HIPAA permits disclosure of information in response to judicial and administrative subpoenas that many state laws limit. If state law has more procedural protection for the individual in that circumstance, then state law applies. Finally, HIPAA incorporates the principle that in general disclosures should be limited to the "minimal necessary" to accomplish the purpose for which disclosure is permitted.

Are there tools that can be used in cross-system information sharing?

There are several tools systems can adopt in creating an integrated approach to information sharing.

Uniform consent forms. While HIPAA does not require prior consent to many disclosures, consent may still be necessary for legal (i.e., other state law) reasons, or because it serves important values. One barrier to collaboration is that most agencies use their own consent forms and consent is obtained transaction by transaction. In response, systems can adopt uniform consent forms that comply with Federal and state law requirements.

Such forms have several features. First, they permit consent to be obtained for disclosure throughout the system at whatever point the individual encounters the system. Second, the forms can be written to include all major entities in the collaborative system; the individual can be given the option to consent to disclosure to each entity in turn, by checking the box next to that entity, or consent can be presumed with the individual given the option of withholding information from a particular entity.

Standard judicial orders. Courts and court officers (state attorneys, public defenders) are not covered entities under HIPAA. However, in some jurisdictions care providers have been reluctant to share health information with the courts, or with probation officers, on the ground that HIPAA prohibits it. In response, some judges have created judicial orders with

lawsuits in the last three decades alleging a breach of confidentiality.

There is also great fear regarding the possibility of punishment for violating HIPAA. Certainly, HIPAA provides for significant penalties, including civil and criminal fines and incarceration. However, there